#### THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

#### March 26, 2008 Staff Report

# REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by Crystal Alvarez.

**Applicant:** City of Oceanside

Allocation Amount Requested: Tax-exempt \$11,707,272

**Project Name:** Country Club Apartments

**Project Address**: 201 Country Club Lane

Project City, County, Zip Code: Oceanside, San Diego, 92054

**Project Sponsor Information:** 

Name: Country Club Apartments, L.P. (Wakeland Housing and

Development Corporation and Wakeland Country Club

Apartments, LLC)

**Principals**: Ken Sauder, Barry Getzel, Rebecca Loule and Joan

Edelman

**Project Financing Information:** 

**Bond Counsel**: Best, Best & Kreiger, LLP

**Underwriter**: Not Applicable

**Credit Enhancement Provider**: Not Applicable

**Private Placement Purchaser**: Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.

**TEFRA Hearing**: January 9, 2008

**Description of Proposed Project:** 

**State Ceiling Pool:** General

**Total Number of Units:** 90, plus 1 manager unit

**Type:** Acquisition and Rehabilitation

**Type of Units:** Family

**Description of Public Benefits:** 

Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project: 100%

100% (90 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households; and

**Unit Mix:** Studio, 1 and 2 bedrooms

**Term of Restrictions:** 55 years

<b>Estimated Total Development Cost:</b>	\$21,381,022		
Estimated Hard Costs per Unit:	\$ 65,354 (\$5881,880/90 units)		
Estimated Part Costs per Cint.  Estimated per Unit Cost:	\$ 237,567 (\$21,381,022/90 units)		
Allocation per Unit:	\$ 130,080 (\$11,707,272/90 units)		
<u>-</u>			
Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$ 130,080 (\$11,707,272/90 restricted units)		
Sources of Funds:	Construction	Permanent	
Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds	\$11,707,272	\$ 3,508,109	
LIH Tax Credit Equity	\$ 748,182	\$ 7,481,816	
Direct & Indirect Public Funds	\$ 7,600,000	\$10,163,097	
Deferred Interest on Soft Cost Debt	\$ 228,000	\$ 228,000	
Total Sources	\$20,283,454	\$21,381,022	
Total Boarces	Ψ20,203,131	Ψ21,301,022	
<b>Uses of Funds:</b>			
Land Purchase	\$10,215,000		
Hard Construction Costs	\$ 5,881,880		
Architect & Engineering Fees	\$ 125,000		
Contractor Overhead & Profit	\$ 850,634		
Developer Fee	\$ 1,200,000		
Relocation	\$ 985,559		
Cost of Issuance	\$ 312,316		
Capitalized Interest	\$ 727,112		
Other Soft Costs	\$ 1,083,521		
Total Uses	\$21,381,022		
	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

### **Legal Questionnaire:**

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

**Total Points:** 80 out of 128

[See Attachment A]

#### **Recommendation:**

Staff recommends that the Committee approve \$11,707,272 in tax-exempt bond allocation.

#### ATTACHMENT A

## **EVALUATION SCORING:**

	Maximum	Maximum	
	Points Allowed	Points Allowed	
Point Criteria	for Non-Mixed	for Mixed	Points Scored
	Income	Income	
	Projects	Projects	
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE	110,000	110,000	
VI Project	20	20	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions:			-
Non-Mixed Income Project	35	15	35
Mixed Income Project	33	15	33
Whited income i toject			
Gross Rents	5	5	5
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions			
[Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in	[10]	[10]	10
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE			
VI Project]			
J 1			
Large Family Units	5	5	0
			,
Leveraging	10	10	10
Community Revitalization Area	15	15	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Sustainable Building Methods	8	8	0
New Construction	10	10	0
Negative Points	NA	NA	NA
Total Points	139	100	90
Total Points	128	108	80

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.